

1882-006 Chancery Causes: Adms. of James T. Loyel & Co. vs. Henry G. Hopkins & Co.  
Lee Co.

Allen, Carnes, Oaks, Waller, Bledsoe

CA-Debt  
T-Property



To the Honorable John A. Keely Judge of  
the Circuit Court of Lee County Va

Humly complaining your  
orators Peter H Allen and Thomas P Barnes  
Administrators of The estate of James S Loyd  
deceased and the said Peter H Allen and brother  
in his wife and Thomas P Barnes and Heimer  
va his wife heirs at law of the said James  
S Loyd dead would respectfully shew to  
your Honor. That on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April 1872  
Henry B Hopkins John C Hopkins Daniel W  
Oaks and James A Hopkins purchased  
from James S. Loyd a Tract of land supposed  
to contain        acres situated lying & being  
on the Hickory Hill in Lee County some 8 or  
ten miles south of Jonesville. That for the pur  
chase price (or part thereof) of said land the  
said Henry B Hopkins John C Hopkins Danl  
W Oaks <sup>& James A. Hopkins</sup> executed to said Loyd their three sever  
al bonds or writing obligatory by the first  
of which they bound themselves heirs &c to pay  
to the said James S. Loyd on or before the 1<sup>st</sup>  
day of March next thereafter the sum of three  
hundred & thirty three <sup>33 1/3</sup> 100 dollars which note  
is dated on said 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April 1872 and is  
herewith filed as part hereof marked (A)  
The second of said bonds is dated on the third  
day of April 1872. and is for the sum of



Three hundred & fifty Three <sup>33</sup>/<sub>100</sub> dollars, said  
is due and payable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March 1874. Said  
note is filed as part hereof marked (B)

the third note was also executed on the  
3<sup>rd</sup> day of April 1872 and is for the sum  
of three hundred and seventy Three <sup>33</sup>/<sub>100</sub> dol  
lars and become due and payable on the  
1<sup>st</sup> day of March 1875. This note is also filed  
herewith as a part hereof marked (C)

All of these notes show or express upon  
their face that they were executed for and  
(To wit the James Mc Young Tract)

Upon the first of said notes there was paid  
on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of August 1874 the sum of  
\$280.<sup>00</sup> which is endorsed thereon as a credit  
and on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of October 1875. There  
was paid on said note the sum of \$70.<sup>00</sup>  
which is also endorsed on said note as a  
credit; the balance of said note is still due  
and unpaid. That upon the second of said  
notes which become due and payable on the  
1<sup>st</sup> day of March 1874, there was paid by Rich  
and Wallen on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of October 1876 the  
sum of \$288.<sup>58</sup> which is endorsed as a  
credit on said note. The balance of said last  
mentioned note is still due and unpaid; that  
on the note which become due and payable on  
the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March 1875 there has been  
nothing paid the whole of said last men-



\* But your Orators are advised that this sale to said Wallen and by said Wallen to said Gleasons does not in the least affect their own said land for the unpaid balance of the purchase but that all of said land is still liable to them. Whether in possession of the original or subsequent purchasers.

tioned note therefore is still due. Said purchasers went into the possession of said land immediately after the purchase thereof and have enjoyed the same ever since and are still doing so. Your Orators will further show your Honor that the said James Lloyd executed to said vendee his title bond by which he bound himself heirs &c to convey said land to said purchasers as soon as they should pay the purchase money. Your Orators will now further show your Honor that since their purchase of the said the said Henry & Hopstins John & Hopstins Daniel & Oaks and James A Hopstins have sold a part of said tract of land to one Richard Wallen, but for what price or what amount of land or under what condition your Orators do not know. That sometime after his purchase the said Richard Wallen sold the part of said land purchased by him as aforesaid to Joseph and James Bease who are now in possession thereof, ~~and that~~ that said James A Hopstins is in the possession of the residue thereof. Your Orators will further show your Honor that ~~the said James Lloyd~~ ~~did not~~ in his lifetime convey said land to his vendee but died without having divested himself of the legal



title thereof and that at his death the same descended to his widow and heirs who are, Mary J Loyd widow of said James J Loyd deceased, and <sup>Mary</sup> Catherine who intermarried with your orator Peter H Allen Minerva O. who intermarried with your orator Thomas P Cornes. Mattie W Loyd. Thomas P Loyd. Charles P Loyd W<sup>m</sup> B Loyd & John P Loyd, the last three to wit Charles P. William B, & John P. are infants, under the age of 21 years.

Your orators and oratrices are willing and hereby offer to convey and will file when necessary their deed for their undivided interest in said land.

Your oratrices have nor claim no interest in the money here sued for and are only joined with their husbands as plaintiffs in order that their title in said land may be properly passed to the purchaser.

The object of this bill is to have specific execution of the contract hereinbefore set out and to collect the unpaid balance of the purchase money of said tract of land and to subject the same to sale for that purpose if necessary and being without adequate remedy at law and properly relievable only in a court of



legality Your Orators and Oratrices pray  
your Honor to take cognizance of this cause  
and grant them the relief suited to their  
case to this end they name Henry G Hopkins  
John Q Hopkins Daniel ~~W~~ James A  
Hopkins Richard Waller Joseph Bledsoe  
James Bledsoe. Mary J Lloyd widow of  
James S Lloyd deceased Walter W Lloyd  
Thomas P Lloyd Charles P Lloyd W<sup>m</sup> B Lloyd  
and John P Lloyd defendants to this bill and  
they pray that the adult defendants may  
be required to answer its several allegations  
on oath that ~~a~~ guardian ad litem be ap-  
pointed to answer <sup>for</sup> and defend the rights of  
the infants and that upon a final hearing  
that said defendants be required to pay the  
several debts herein before mentioned to your  
orators as Administrators That a commis-  
sioner be appointed to convey the legal  
title of the heirs of said James S. Lloyd,  
to his Vendors. and that said land if  
necessary be sold to pay the unpaid  
balance of said purchase money  
and for such other further special &  
general relief as is suited to the merits  
of this case May Your Honor  
& as in duty they will Pray.

Morgan & Duncan  
JL.



6 8.15

2 15.00

5 5.00

G. A. L. 5.00

Dr. 5.00

\$ 38.15

Auto 5.00

\$ 43.15

Peter H. Allen et al <sup>et al</sup> ~~Am...~~

vs ~~Bill in Chancery~~

Henry G. Hopkins et al

Exhibits A B C D E

1882. Mr. Deane final



To the Honorable John A. Kelly, Judge of the  
Circuit Court of Lee County Va,  
The answer of Charles P. Lloyd, Wm B. Lloyd &  
John P. Lloyd, infants, by R. W. Orr Jr to a Bill in  
Chancery filed in your Honors Court, against  
these wards & others, by Geo H. Allen et al Administrators  
&c et als. In answer Your Respondent says,  
that he knows nothing of the truth or fal-  
sity of the allegations in Pffs Bill, and  
is advised of no defense proper for  
him to make as their guardian, in  
the said Cause, but as this Court is a  
Court of Equity, and such Courts being  
the peculiar Guardian of the rights and  
interests of infants, Your Respondent  
begs leave to place the rights and interests  
of his said wards into the hands of your  
Honor, knowing that they will there  
be protected.

R W Orr Jr.  
Guardian ad litem

Sworn to before me March 24<sup>th</sup> 1877.  
James W Orr. Clerk.



Chas. P. Lloyd et als

ads 3 Ans. by  
G. A. L.

Peter H. Allen et al <sup>Advers</sup>  
<sub>et als</sub>

Filed at April Rules 1879.  
Jas M Orr, Clerk.

333.33  
353.33  
373.33  

---

1059.99

Fee 45-



P. W. Allen Admr. &c. et al Plffs  
against  
H. G. Hopkins et als Defts } In Chy.

This cause came on again this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April 1882 to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause the report of C. T. Duncan Commissioner filed this day in open Court and the deed filed therewith, and was argued by Counsel. And said deed and report being seen and inspected by the Court and there being no exceptions thereto they are confirmed on consideration whereof it is adjudged ordered and decreed that said C. T. Duncan & A. Moore take and hold the land described in said deed free from the claims of all persons mentioned in the bill and proceedings in this cause, and leave is given them to withdraw said deed from the files of this cause as a muniment of title to them upon paying to C. T. Duncan Commissioner the sum of five dollars for making and executing this deed and there remaining nothing further to be done in this cause it is stricken from the docket.



P. H. Allen Sum to itals

75-3 Deer & furs

H. G. Hopkins et als

Enter page 258.

J. A. Hyatt  
Clear

Enter this deer

2075

April 5<sup>th</sup> 1882



J. H. Allen Samr &c et als Petff

vs

By In chery.

H. G. Hopkins et als.

Defendants

This cause came on again This 4<sup>th</sup> day of April 1882 to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause the report of C. T. Duncan special Commissioner and Exhibits and vouchers accompanying said report and was argued by counsel and it appearing to the Court that said report has been filed for more than ten days before the first day of this term and that no exceptions have been filed thereto said report is confirmed together with the payments made by said Commissioner as evidenced by the receipts filed with said report which are also confirmed and it further appearing to the Court that the purchasers of the land in the cause mentioned to wit L. B. Duncan and A. Moore have fully paid the purchase price of said land and are now entitled to a deed for the same on consideration whereof it is adjudged ordered and decreed that C. T. Duncan who is appointed a Commissioner for the purpose do convey said land to said purchasers by warrants of special warranty her



P. H. Allen Adm to it as

vs.  $\frac{4}{3}$  Deere

H. G. Hopkins et al

Entered Page  
251, J. H. Hyatt  
Clerk

Enter this decree

April 4<sup>th</sup> 1891.

will report his actions to a future day  
of this time <sup>to which time</sup> ~~and the~~ course is conducted



Peter & Allen Samson et al vs. Plffs.

75

Henry C. Hopkins et al vs. Lm Chas  
3 Dfs

This cause came on again this 1<sup>st</sup> day of September 1880 to be heard upon the papers formerly read the report of C. T. Duncan Court filed August 3<sup>rd</sup> 1880. and was argued by counsel and it appearing to the Court that said report has been filed for more than 10 days before the first day of this term and that no exceptions were then filed thereto said report is confirmed, and it is adjudged ordered and decreed that C. T. Duncan Court proceed to collect the note for the purchase price of said land as the same falls due but he give proceeding to do so he will give bond before the Clerk of this Court in a penalty of \$1000 Conditional to faithfully account for all sums received by him. And a writ of possession is awarded the purchaser upon his requesting the same. And this cause is continued till the next term.



P. H. Allen Sum. Oct.

vs- 3 Deere

Henry G. Hopkins et al

Entered page 147

H. H. Stebbins & Co.

Enter this deere

Sept 1st, 1880.



Peter H. Allen & Co. v. James H. Poff  
Henry G. Hopkins & others. Defts } Indebted

This cause came on this day to be heard when the Poff's bill and Exhibits were read and the answer of the infants defendants by their Guardian ad litem was read and argued by counsel, and it appearing to the court that the rules of the court in this cause against the defendants Henry G. Hopkins John C. Hopkins and Daniel H. Oaks had been duly published and posted more than 30 days before the present term of this court, and that the cause has been regularly continued to rules as to the adults named defendants James & Hopkins Mary J. Lloyd, Mattie J. Lloyd and Thomas Lloyd, and that with said non residents having failed to demur plead or answer the Poff's bill, the same is therefore taken for confessed as to them. On consideration thereof it is adjudged ordered and decreed that the Poff's bill be allowed and Thomas Poff as assignee of James J. Lloyd recover against the defendants Henry G. Hopkins John C. Hopkins Daniel H. Oaks and James H. Hopkins the sum of \$1059.99 the aggregate amount of the three bonds sued on with legal interest on \$333.33 the amount of the first of said bonds from the 1st day of March 1873, ~~and~~ and the like interest on \$333.33 the amount of the second of said bonds from the first day of March 1874, and the like interest on \$333.33 the amount of the third bond from the 1st day of March 1875, till paid and the costs of this suit Subject to the following credits \$235.00 paid August the 31st 1874 \$70.00 paid Oct the 18 1875. and \$288.50 paid Oct 27. 1876 the first two credits being entered on the first bond and the other on the second bond, And it further appearing to the



court, that the Piffs were a lien upon the land of the  
 land in the bill mentioned whether in the hands of the  
 original purchaser, or the defendants Richard Williams or  
 Joseph and James Blake. It is therefore further adjudged  
 ordered and decreed, that unless the same due the Piffs by  
 this decree be paid to them within 30 days from this day  
 then that said lands or so much thereof as may be necessary  
 be sold on a credit of 6, 12 and 18 months time & as to  
 the costs of suit and sale which is required to be paid <sup>in</sup>  
 first, and Charles T. Dracum is appointed a Special  
 Comr. to make said sale who before doing so will adver-  
 tise the time terms and place of sale for 30 days first  
 thereto, by posting notices thereof at the court house door  
 and one place at least in the neighborhood where  
 the land lies. He will require bond for the purchase  
 price with good security bearing interest from date and  
 will retain the title till the same is paid and said  
 Comr. in making said sale will first sell that portion  
 of said land if any yet in the hands of one of the original  
 purchasers, and if this should prove insufficient then  
 so much of that as has been sold as will be sufficient  
 and he will report his actions to the court and the  
 cause is continued.

Peter H. Allen vol

Decree

James G. Hawkins vol

Each page 87

front on vol.

Done by A.K.

Dec. 1792



Peter & Allen Sumr. & Co. et al

Plffs

vs

In Chancery.

Henry C. Hopkins et al

Defds.

Pursuant to a decree entered in this cause on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of December 1879. The undersigned Commissioner after advertising Time Terms and place of sale as required by said decree on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 1880 That being Court day of said The land in the bill and proceedings mentioned, for sale to the highest bidder on a credit of 6 12 & 18 Months except a sum sufficient to pay costs of suit and commissions of sale which I required down, by the terms of said decree I was directed to first offer for sale that part of the land still owned by the original purchasers. This I did and it was bid off by one J. C. Blasing at the sum of \$300 that not being a sum sufficient to pay the debts mentioned in said decree I then offered for sale the remainder of said tract being the land sold by the original purchasers to Walter & perhaps others and this parcel was knocked down to said Blasing at \$250 I then offered the whole tract subject to the claim of J. M. Youngs willow, and the said Blasing again became the purchaser of the whole of said land at the price of



\$550. After having bid for said land and had the same knocked down to him the said Blessing was unable to comply with the terms of said sale, he could neither pay the sum required to be paid down nor give security for the deferred payments.

I waited on him until the 24<sup>th</sup> of August 1880 when he came to me and stated that he could not comply with the terms of sale himself but had transferred his bid to L B Duncan and Anderson More who were willing to take said land and comply with the terms upon which he had purchased. The said L B. Duncan & Anderson on that day paid down to me the sum of \$63.15 that being the amount required to pay costs of suit and commissions of sale. And presented to me their note for \$486.85 the residue of said sum of \$550, bearing interest from the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 1880 with Lofan Manero as security. The note is a good one and the sale is perhaps as good a one as can be made as the land is not favorably located to secure a good price, of the sum paid down to me I retained my fee as attorney, 15.00 my commissions amounting to \$20.00 I paid to James W. Cox his Clerk \$8.15 and the



for of R H Orr for geordium ad litem, \$5.00  
making in all \$13.15 his receipt for which is here  
filed marked (1) to Charles Willoughby, \$5.00  
Printer for his receipt for which is here  
filed marked (2) Shuff's costs \$5.00 and esti-  
mated costs \$5.00 are still in my hands.

I would recommend a Confirmation of  
said Sale as I do not think a better  
one can be made

Respectfully submitted  
Aug 2 - 1880 C. T. Duncan Comr



P. H. Allen Amroctas  
vs  $\frac{1}{2}$  Coar. Report.

St. L. Hopkins & Co.

Filed Aug. 3<sup>rd</sup> 1880.

F. R. Stickley & Co.



D. H. Allen

1879

To Thos. J. Ely. D. Sheriff Lee Co 7<sup>th</sup> Dr

March Rules To Serving B Spa - vs J. H. Hopkins 50¢ ea 1.50

Received Payment of C. J. Duncan bonds  
T. J. Ely D.S.



P. H. Allen

5035u Bill

2.25

\$1.50



Received of C. J. Duncan Court in the Chancery  
cause of P. H. Allen et al. Deors vs Henry C. Hopkins  
at al. Eight dollars + 15 cts, my fee in said cause  
including Aug term 1880, Also Five dollars the fee  
of R. W. Orr for Guardian ad litem in said cause,  
August 2nd 1880.

R. W. Orr, Clerk.



As W Orr Clerk.

To Recd of 13-18-

C. L. Duncan

---



To the Honorable John A. Kelly Judge of  
the Circuit Court of Lee County, Va

The undersigned a commissioner  
appointed by a decree rendered on the  
4<sup>th</sup> day of April 1882 in the chancery  
causes of P. H. Allen & Amos & it also vs  
Harry & Hopkings it also to make and  
execute a deed to the purchaser of the  
land in the bill and proceedings in said  
cause mentioned before to report to  
~~report~~ that pursuant to said decree he  
has made and acknowledged a deed to L. B.  
Duncan & A. Moore the purchasers for  
said land. Said deed is herewith filed  
marked (A). When said deed is confirmed  
there remains nothing further for the  
Court to do in this case and it may  
be stricken from the docket.

Respectfully submitted

April 4<sup>th</sup> 1882.

L. B. Duncan Com.



P. H. Allen, Admr. &c.  
vs. Commr's Report of  
Deed.  
H. G. Hopkins et al.



Peter H. Allen Sumner et als.

75

In chancery.

Henry G. Hopkins et als.

To the Honorable John A. Kelly Judge of  
the Circuit Court of Lee County Va

The undersigned special commis-  
sioner who was directed by a decree entered  
in this cause at a former term of your Honors  
Court to collect the money for which the land  
in the bill and proceedings mentioned, sold  
by a lien to report that the purchasers of  
said land have paid him the amt in  
full of their notes.

I file herewith a statement of receipts and  
disbursements by which it will be seen  
that I have received \$496.46. and have  
paid out to the said Allen Sumner & for him  
the sum of \$428.07, leaving a balance  
in my hands of \$68.39 subject to the order of  
said Allen. It follows that the purchasers  
to wit L. B. Duncan & A. Moore are now  
entitled to a deed and they desire the same  
to be made to them at this term of the Court  
and I respectfully recommend that a  
deed be made to them.

Respectfully submitted

C. F. Duncan Special  
Commissioner



P.H. Allen Sum to date  
Carr's Report  
75  $\frac{2}{3}$  of collections to  
Henry G. Hapkins & Co

Per A. March 15 1852  
J. W. Hapkins  
Clerk



~~For Value received I assign the~~  
~~within note to P. H. Allen~~  
~~This the 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1874~~  
~~received to D. S. Lloyd~~

August the 31<sup>st</sup> 1874  
or the within note  
by one bay mare and  
an barrel mare for  
Two hundred and

Thirty five Dollars

Oct the 18<sup>th</sup> 1875

or the within note by one yoke  
of Cattle seventy Dollars,  
we assign the within note to Joseph Bledsoe without  
any redeem on D. S. Lloyd's estate in common law or equity  
Jan 23<sup>rd</sup> 1878 P. H. Allen & J. P. Barnes assigns of D. S. Lloyd

Oct 27<sup>th</sup> 1876 or the within note  
Two hundred and Eighty Eight Dollars  
and fifty eight cents in horses & wagon  
and one yoke of cattle paid by  
Richard Wallen

~~For Value received I assign the~~  
~~within note to P. H. Allen~~  
~~This the~~  
~~6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1874~~  
~~received to D. S. Lloyd~~

~~we assign the within note to Joseph Bledsoe~~  
~~without redeem on D. S. Lloyd's estate in~~  
~~common law or equity Jan 23<sup>rd</sup> 1878~~

~~P. H. Allen~~  
~~J. P. Barnes~~

~~For Value received I assign the~~  
~~within note to P. H. Allen~~  
~~This the~~  
~~6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1874~~  
~~received to D. S. Lloyd~~

~~we assign the within note to Joseph Bledsoe~~  
~~without redeem on D. S. Lloyd's estate in~~  
~~common law or equity Jan 23<sup>rd</sup> 1878~~

~~P. H. Allen~~  
~~J. P. Barnes~~



#333.33 1/3

On or before the First day  
of March next we bind our  
selves our heirs &c to pay James  
J. Loyd Three hundred and thirty  
Three Dollars and thirty three ¢  
and one third of a ¢ for Value  
received of him we do hear by  
waive the benefit of our homestead  
and personal property this note  
is given for the James M. Young  
Tract of Land This the 3<sup>d</sup> day  
of April 1872  
witness  
J. H. Allen

Henry G. Hopkins (Seal)  
John O. Hopkins (Seal)  
Daniel W. Oakes (Seal)  
James A. Hopkins (Seal)

#353.33

On or before the first day  
of March 1874 we bind our  
selves heirs &c to pay James J.  
Loyd Three hundred and fifty  
three dollars and thirty three ¢  
for Value received of him  
we do hear by waive the  
benefit of our homestead and  
personal property this note is  
given for the James M. Young  
Tract of Land This the 3<sup>d</sup> day of  
April 1872  
witness  
J. H. Allen

Henry G. Hopkins (Seal)  
John O. Hopkins (Seal)  
Daniel W. Oakes (Seal)  
James A. Hopkins (Seal)

#373.33

On or before the first day of  
March 1875 we bind our selves our  
heirs &c to pay James J. Loyd Three  
hundred and seventy three dollars and  
thirty three ¢ for Value received of him  
we do hear by waive the benefit of our  
homestead and personal property this note is  
given for the James M. Young Tract of Land  
This the 3<sup>d</sup> day of April 1872  
witness  
J. H. Allen

Henry G. Hopkins (Seal)  
John O. Hopkins (Seal)  
Daniel W. Oakes (Seal)  
James A. Hopkins (Seal)



Henry S. Hopkins, John O. Hopkins David W. Oaks  
and James A. Hopkins on the 30th day of  
April 1872 bought of James T. Lloyd a certain  
tract of Land supposed to contain        acres  
lying & being in Lee County and situated on  
Hickory Hill

At a subsequent time the above mentioned  
parties sold a part of said tract of land to  
one Richard Wallin, who at a subsequent time  
sold his interests thus purchased to one Joseph  
Bledsae and James Bledsae who thereupon  
took possession of that part so purchased and  
are now in the possession thereof, and the  
said James A. Hopkins is in the possession  
of the residue of said tract not sold as aforesaid

Henry S. Hopkins John O. Hopkins and  
Daniel W. Oaks are now residents of the State

(At the time of this Sale said Lloyd executed  
to said H. S. Hopkins & al his bond by which he bound  
himself to convey to them the legal title to said  
land when the purchase money therefor should  
be fully paid.

Said Lloyd having died before conveying said  
land, leaving a widow Mary Jane Lloyd and  
the following named children Mary E. Minerva  
O. Mattie W. Thomas P. Charles P. Wm. B. & John P.  
Lloyd to whom the legal title to the same descended  
The last three of whom are infants



Allen & Barnes Admrs.

vs. Memorandum

Henry S. Perkins vs.



C. J. Duncan leaver.

1880	To P.H. Allen Adm <sup>str</sup> at al <sup>s</sup> D			
Aug 23.	To cash of L.B. Duncan & A Moore 1 <sup>st</sup> installment of purchase money,		166	33.
1	By cash paid P.H. Allen Nov 16, 1880,	135	00	
2	" " " P.H. Allen for P.H. Allen.	25	00	
Dec 21. 1880	To cash rec <sup>d</sup> of Duncan & Moore.		210	00
Feb 1, 1881. 3	By cash paid D Miller for P.H. Allen	25	00	
4	" " " P.H. Allen Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> 1881.	100	00	
Aug 23 1881	To cash rec <sup>d</sup> of Duncan & Moore		120	13.
5	By cash paid P.H. Allen Jan 19 July 1881	35	00	
6.	" " " Same 19 Oct 1881	78	07.	
7	" " " H.S.K. Morse and for P.H. Allen.	30	00	
	Bal in my hands,	68	39	
		496	46	496 46
1882 Jan 1 <sup>st</sup>	To Balance in my hands		68	39
	by and paid D Sullivan	40	00	
	" " " Allen	20		
	by Ticket	6	90	66 90
				1.49



3  
Virginia

In the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court  
of the County the 16 day of March 1877

I the undersigned Henry Samuel as Sheriff

against

Henry B. Hopkins et al

John C. Hopkins  
David W. Hopkins

The object of this Suit is to recover of the defendants  
Henry B. Hopkins John C. Hopkins David W.  
Hopkins & James A. Hopkins the Sum of \$1009.79 the  
agreed amount of the three bonds and the full  
amount which were credits for the purchase  
price of the tract of land in the bill mentioned  
with interest and Costs. Subject to the credit any  
amount on said bonds and to subject to the pay-  
ment thereof the said tract of land on which  
the bonds have been sold the vendors claim.  
And it appearing from an affidavit filed in  
the cause, that Henry B. Hopkins John C. Hopkins  
& David W. Hopkins, two of the defendants in this  
cause, are now residents of the State of Virginia.  
It is ordered that they appear here within one  
month after the publication of this order  
to do what is necessary to protect their in-  
terest in this Suit.

H. Cope

John C. Hopkins  
David W. Hopkins



I certify that I pasted a copy of the within order at the front  
door of the Court house of Lee County, on the first day of  
the March term 1871, of the County Court.

James H. Orr Clerk

Attest  
1871  
Henry W. Stephens  
Clerk to Court



Allen & Carver v. Puffs.

vs.

Henry G. Perkins & others

Defts.

} Ver. City

I do solemnly swear that Henry G. Perkins  
John W. Perkins & Daniel M. Oaks were of the  
defendants in the above styled cause are not  
residents of the state of Virginia and am informed  
and believe so help me God.

P. H. Allen

Sworn to before me by P. H. Allen

This 25th day of Feb. 1879.

Henry J. Morgan Court. in by Subscrib.



Allen Newnes adms  
vs. { Oppd. for Publication  
Henry G. Hopkins & al.

---



# The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County,---Greeting:

We Command you to Summon

*Henry W. Hopkins, John C. Hopkins, David H. Oaks, James A. Hopkins, Richard Waller, Joseph Bledsoe, James Bledsoe, Mary J. Loyd widow of said James L. Loyd, John H. Loyd, Linn L. Loyd, Charles P. Loyd, John H. Loyd & John L. Loyd heirs at law of said J. L. Loyd*

To appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee, at the Court-House, on the first Monday in

*March*

next, being rule day, to answer a bill in Chancery, exhibited in our said Court against

by

*Peter H. Allen & Thomas P. Barnes  
heirs of James L. Loyd decd, and the said Peter H. Allen & Thomas P. Barnes & Thomas P. Barnes & his wife as heirs at law of the said James L. Loyd decd.*

And have then there this writ. Witness, JAMES W. ORR, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, this

day of

*Feb.*

1879; in the 103<sup>rd</sup> year of the Commonwealth.

*J. W. Orr Jr.*

CLERK.



20.  
 1.70.  
 .18.  
 .15.  
 .18.  
 .10.  
 .36. O.P.  
 .60.  
 1.00.  
 .15-18. A. 2  
 .25 " " "  
 1.00 May + June.  
 .36 Decel. Nov  
 .36  
 .36  
 .40  
 1.50 Tax  
 \$ 8.15-

1m+2  
 Peter H Allen et als

3 Spd in Chy  
 3 10 copies  
 Henry G Hopkins  
 et als

March Rules 1877

Executed E. L. Scott 18  
 for 7 8 1876.

Executed by delivery  
 Capps to the folder in mat

Persons James A. Hopkins  
 Joseph Bledsoe  
 And James Bledsoe

Thos. E. Ch. 40 S.

469

745

4623

60.74  
 5-

303.70

60.74

3000

30.74

2400

474

30.3

777

425

469

3.5

0.86

303

777

250

727



**V**IRGINIA :—In the Clerk's office of the Circuit court of Lee county, the 1st day of March, 2878. 1879

P. H. Allen and Thos. P. Carnes, Administrators et als. Plaintiffs.

against

Henry G. Hopkins et als. Defendants.

IN CHANCERY.

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants, Henry G. Hopkins, John O. Hopkins, David W. Oaks and James A. Hopkins, the sum of \$1059 99, the aggregate amount of the three bonds in the bill mentioned, which were executed for the purchase price of the tract of land in the bill mentioned, with interest and costs, subject to a credit endorsed on said bonds, and to subject to the payment thereof the said tract of land, on which the plaintiffs have, and hold the vendors' lien. And it appearing from an affidavit filed in the cause that Henry G. Hopkins, John O. Hopkins and David W. Oaks, three of the defendants, in this cause, are non residents of the State of Virginia. It is ordered that they appear here within one month after due publication of this order, to do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit.

A cop Teste—R. W. ORR, jr. D. C.

[mar. 7th, 4w \$6



of the same de-  
pay the same de-  
defendant Wm. W. Anderson, and insert  
thereon and the cost, and also the costs of  
this suit and my commissions. The sale will  
be made on a credit of one and two years,  
except so much as will be necessary to pay

REGISTRATION OF ANY NEWSPAPER IN S. W. VIRGINIA.

THE

# LEE COUNTY SENTINEL,

Democratic. \$1.50 a Year,

Charles Willoughby, Owner & Editor.

Jonesville, Va.,

187

I hereby certify that the copy  
order of which the annexed  
is a copy, was printed four suc-  
cessive weeks, ending to day,  
March 28-1879, in the Lee County  
Sentinel, a weekly newspaper print-  
ed in the State of Virginia.  
Charles Willoughby, Printer



P. H. Allen et al.  
vs.  $\frac{2}{3}$  Pabs. Certif.  
H. G. Hopkins et al